



GARDNERVILLE TOWN BOARD

Meeting Minutes

Linda Slater, Chair
Lloyd Higuera, Vice Chair
Ken Miller, Board Member
Mary Wenner, Board Member
Mike Henningsen, Board Member

1407 Highway 395 N.
Gardnerville, Nevada 89410
(p)775-782-7134 (f)775-782-7135
<https://www.townofgardnerville.com>

Contact: Carol Louthan, Administrative Services Manager for any questions or additional information. You may also view the board packet online at the town's website.

Tuesday, May 7, 2019

4:30 p.m.

Gardnerville Town Hall

INVOCATION – Pastor Matt Wetzell from Calvary Chapel in Carson Valley – did not attend, no invocation given

4:30 P.M. Chairwoman Slater called the meeting to order and made the determination a quorum is present.

PRESENT:

Linda Slater, Chair
Lloyd Higuera, Vice-Chair
Mary Wenner
Ken Miller
Mike Henningsen

Jennifer Yturbide, Town Counsel
Carol Louthan, Acting Town Manager
Geoff LaCost, Superintendent Public Works

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Linda Slater

PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS (No Action)

This portion of the meeting is open to the public to speak on any topic not on the agenda and must be limited to 3 minutes. The Gardnerville Town Board is prohibited by law from taking immediate action on issues raised by the public that are not listed on the agenda.

Ms. Beth Hojnacke lives in Chichester Estates –6/8 is the parade and the roads will be closed again. We have a lot of new residents north of Chichester that have to come through Chichester to get out. Every year we get a lot of complaints from a lot of people that they weren't notified far enough in advance. Can you make sure that there are more detour signs so people don't get out on Waterloo and not know where to go. Also, cracks in the roads in Chichester Estates – Mr. Lacost provided some information about how much was done and how much more is estimated. It if a very conservative number, Is there any way of looking at the past history of the work that's been done? I have a sneaking suspicion that we're not keeping up. I know the budgets and plans have already been done, but if cracks continue to deteriorate we may end up with catastrophic road repairs. Also, do we plan on spraying the cracks for weeds soon? On my street there are weeds this tall. They degrade the asphalt and make the cracks worse.

Officially, for the record, Ms. Yturbide wanted to take the opportunity to welcome Mr. Erik Nilssen as the new town manager. He will officially start on May 18th. There were a number of qualified applicants and in Mr. Nilssen we found someone with notable educational accomplishments, and public administration and engineering, licensure as a professional engineer with several years experience as the county engineer for Douglas County, and former experience as a civil engineer, plans examiner and hydrologist. I think with his experiences he should serve the town and its constituents well.

Mr. Erik Nilssen said his dad told him it was really hard to get a job so when you do get one work as hard as you can. There were 40 some applicants for this job. I can't promise that staff, the public, and the board will always agree with every decision I make, but I will promise the board, staff and the public that I will always do my best to make the right decision and work my hardest because I recognize that if I don't there are 40 some odd people who would love to have the opportunity. So I look forward to the challenges that will come being the town manager and I look forward to working with each of you.

Ms. Slater and the board welcomed Mr. Nilssen aboard.

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF AGENDA, with public comment prior to Board action.

The Gardnerville Town Board reserves the right to take items in a different order to accomplish business in the most efficient manner.

Motion by Higuera/Wenner

No public comment

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF THE PREVIOUS MINUTES of April 2, 2019 Regular Board meeting; with public comment prior to Board action.

Motion by Higuera/Miller

No public comment

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

CONSENT CALENDAR FOR POSSIBLE ACTION

Items appearing on the Consent Calendar are items that may be adopted with one motion **after public comment**. Consent items may be pulled at the request of Town Board members wishing to have an item or items discussed further. When items are pulled for discussion, they will be automatically placed at the beginning of the Administrative Agenda.

1. **For Possible Action: Correspondence.**
Approved.
2. **For Possible Action: Health and Sanitation and Public Works Departments Monthly Report of activities.**
Approved.
3. **For Possible Action: Approve April 2019 claims.**
Approved.
4. **For Possible Action: Recommend approval of Douglas County Outdoor Festival Entertainment Event Application for the 109th Annual Carson Valley Days Festival scheduled for June 3 through 10, 2018, sponsored by Carson Valley Active 20-30 Club #85**
Approved.
5. **For Possible Action: Approve Budget Transfers for FY 2018/2019 FY.**
Approved.
6. **For Possible Action: Approve a request by Leadership Douglas County to place a reader board at Gardnerville Station announcing its Volunteer Fair in August.**
Approved.

Motion Higuera with correction of item #4 of the year being 2019/Miller

No public comment

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA

(Any agenda items pulled from the Consent Calendar will be heard at this point)

7. **For Possible Action: Presentation by Boy Scout Nathan Caires on the installation of Bocce Ball Courts at Heritage Park, and discussion to approve or deny a request for additional town funding and/or support to complete the project; with public comment prior to Board action.**

Nathan discussed his Eagle Scout project – describing and giving a power point presentation of the courts, the approximate locations, the construction materials, a sign with bocce ball rules and another thanking donors and helpers, and proposed dates of May 12, May 19 and May 26. The donor backed out of supplying the 6x6 beams because they have to be pressure treated wood. He's gone to Meeks, Home Depot and Lowe's for donations but he hasn't gotten a whole lot. Meeks at Carson City will let him buy their beams at cost totaling \$750. From the town he would need \$750 for the beams, \$300 for decomposed granite, a weed barrier if available, and help disposing of sod he'll cut out, help moving oyster shell from Tractor Supply in Carson to site, and the bathrooms unlocked on project day.

Ms. Slater talked about where the signs would be – if they are placed right next to the courts would staff have to mow around them?

Mr. Higuera asked if he had any donors yet. Nathan said that Lowe's usually give out a \$50 gift card.

Mr. Miller asked if he would have a box to keep the implements to play the game. Nathan said that people could bring their own bocce ball sets.

Nathan said the two signs are being donated by Carson Valley signs.

Mr. Henningsen asked if Nathan talked to managers of stores, in uniform, with a nice presentation. Nathan responded that he did talk with store managers and he was in uniform - he explained who he was, what he was asking, and why. Mr. Henningsen they have to buy into the vision, that's crucial for getting donations. This has been ongoing so its not new to the board, are we prepared for this?

Mr. Lacost said the previous town manager promised \$2700 for the oyster shell. We do have funds available we'll just be taking if from another project.

Nathah would need about \$1050.

Mr. Miller asked how much oyster shell, and what kind of vehicles can carry this.

Leonard Caires, Nathan's dad, manages Carson's Tractor Supply and they are selling the oyster shell at cost. It's about 7 pallets total.

Mr. Lacost said we'd use the dump truck with the trailer to transport the material. Or use a flatbed. It might take 2 trips.

Mr. Miller asked how to dispose of the sod.

Mr. Lacost said we'd probably take it to Bently as greenwaste. There may be a challenge getting the sod out due to tree roots, we'll find out when the project happens. There may be a place in Arbor Garden, or dead spots in the parks where we could reuse some of the sod.

Public comment – Mr. Dan Webster/Troop #233/Eagle Project Coordinator said we did a project in Heritage Park - the bridge, which turned out very well. Nathan has spent quite a bit of time planning the project and trying to work out all the details. He tried to get donations but that didn't work out. These projects are required to become an Eagle Scout, its for leadership. It's planning the project and carrying it out. He has a real good idea and plan and the troop is behind him to carry it out. I would ask you to approve the funds. You did that with the bridge – you put in some funding and some equipment use and it turned out very well. I would ask you to approve that so that he can move on and finish up being an Eagle.

No further public comment

Motion by Higuera to approve the installation of bocce ball courts and additional town funding in the amount of \$1050 to support the completion of the project/Wenner

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

8. For Possible Action: Discussion on vehicle gate style and placement to be installed at Carrick Detention Pond on Town property; with public comment prior to Board action.

Mr. Lacost discussed Option A and Option B that were brought before the board in Tom Dallaire's report in February. Placement of the gate, options of an automatic or manual gate and traffic needs, cost differences and splitting the cost with the business owner were discussed. We have \$35k set up to do the fencing for the dog park. Hoping for board direction.

Public comment – Mr. Barry Jones got an estimate but didn't hear back from the town whether he was getting the estimate or the town was to figure out what to do. He was hoping to get an upscale gate rather than forestry looking gate. If gate put in at Grant, could put a couple lights on each site of it. Tom Dallaire was going to give him a couple prices and a couple ideas of what to do. Tom sent some types of fencing, and they talked about where to get the electricity. There was no mention of putting it back off the road. The reason for the fence is to keep the parking there to access and not be a nuisance for them to get in and out of the property like it is today. He doesn't understand why we'd put the fence back

there.

Mr. Lacost said the property line follows the south side of the driveway entrance, so the road itself is actually town property. And the property line goes down to the corner of where the asphalt ends and then turns north. There is a right-of-way easement so he still has full access to use the road. He doesn't have to maintain the road, the town maintains the road. The road is 30' wide, so even if you parked a car on both side you would have 14' in the middle which is plenty wide even for a large truck to get through. If it would be the direction to paint red on the south side, that would be another option or availability for control of traffic. If we put the gate up towards the front and make it a manual one, trucks would have to stop on Grant to open it up, which would be problematic. The manual gate with the pipe rail system you would have to get out and open it up which would block traffic – this would be the cheaper way to do it. If it is up front it's still \$22k to put the garage door style opener.

Mr. Jones didn't put it out to bid, he just got somebody's bid. Artistic Fence was there but wanted to have more information from the town. Nothing material wise on that part. Mr. Jones said he knows it's a big nuisance out here. The private drive was actually made for Carson Valley Movers drive. We actually had a letter from Mark Folsberg to George Kill and Berry Jones/Carla Jones in a meeting. And then months after that had a meeting with Tom Dallaire who said all this road would be used for was to access the pump or to service the grass area in the retention pond. So that is how Mr. Jones knew this layout – basically attorneys' talk on paper. Mr. Jones said "I'm just trying to make it right. There's a few issues on how we were going to do the fence in the future to prevent gate cost – location and inside/outside sidewalk, there were so many variables. So I'm just trying to accommodate what is the problem today."

Ms. Slater asked if Mr. Jones was not for option A but only for option B.

Mr. Jones said he sees Option B but that he thinks we could cut the cost down.

Ms. Slater said that is one huge factor for the town, if we went through that something of that nature something else big has to suffer. There are no new monies coming in until the beginning of the next fiscal year. So we get very short of funds. So try to be conservative.

Ms. Wenner asked if there could be more bids.

Mr. Jones was hoping for more bids – for the township to offer more bids. But I think we need to know what we're bidding on.

Mr. Miller said he had some comments in the original discussion and he never envisioned an automatic gate. On a daily basis you can go up and down Highway 395 and see deliver trucks parked in the turn lane blocking the turn lane and making deliveries into the business, sometimes for 15-20 minutes at a time. Nothing is enforced. Even if we put red down that lane it has to be enforced by somebody and the sheriff can't always be there to enforce those red zones for no parking. A non-automatic gate in that location on that road would not be that big of a burden on traffic because you're not blocking the lane completely with a truck until you start your turn. If you stay within the lane on the side it would not block that lane because those roads on Grant are wide enough for a truck to park on the side and another car pass by. It's just the driver would have to take caution when getting out of the cab of the truck. The gate could still go at option A but not be automated. The option of where it would be located would be a separate discussion.

Mr. Henningsen said the real problem this whole thing started with the gate in the first place, was that there were cars being parked there that were interfering with access into the business. So if we still allow cars to be parked it will still prevent business perhaps from carrying on in a normal fashion if we're going to put the gate not at Grant Avenue but on the inside, there's going to be cars parked all over the place – even if we paint it red. You're still going to have a lot of cars, public, people walking dogs, doing whatever they do, and they are not going to be around – their car is going to be locked and they're going to be off somewhere, and these trucks are probably going to be coming back to us saying we can't get to our business. To put a gate anywhere but on Grant seems to be fooling ourselves. If the real concern is cars on the driveway, we really haven't solved that by putting the gate at Option B. Put at Option A near Grant as cheaply as we can, being that we sort of created this problem – put a manual gate as affordable and nice as we can, compromise there.

Ms. Slater asked Ms. Yturbide – if we block this off, 7 years from now what becomes of that piece of property that the town owns?

Ms. Yturbide asked about the ownership of Grant Avenue. Is that a public road? Yes. You can't typically block or restrict a public road.

Mr. Miller said the road with a curve on it is not a public road, it's a private road with Mr. Jones' permission to use it.

Ms. Yturbide asked at what spot does it become a public road?

Ms. Slater said right down at the very end, on Option A where the red is, that's where the property line ends for the town of Gardnerville.

Mr. Lacost said that is the corner of the property, yes.

Ms. Yturbide said what about emergency access, would it still be available and not be an unreasonable restriction?

Ms. Slater said the people that are parking there are going to the dog park. That is going to be developed as a dog park. It's town property subject to a right-of-way. She doesn't think cars are going to be parking where we totally close off that curve.

Mr. Higuera said that probably wouldn't restrict a truck getting through, it's not that wide.

Mr. Glenn Linderman asked in past experience how do the cars park?

Mr. Jones said they park 3 deep – he had to do a 12-point turn to turn around himself, and go out the other way. He has to have some ground rules there. Also, think of the handicapped in facilities near who use this as a walking path. That doesn't do anything to access his business. That little lip the town uses for our service is where they park. That is ideal if you could accommodate that at the same time with the monies. But that's asking for other money in other directions – but you need to be required to at least help everybody.

Ms. Slater asked if we could put no parking signs at both sides and paint red.

Mr. Lacost said yes.

Ms. Slater doesn't like blocking off under Option B. It needs to be built with a gate to the edge of our property line. The no parking signs and red paint put everybody on notice, if it get's turned in and reported it's the Sheriff's duty to enforce it.

Mr. Jones said he discussed it with Tom Dallaire several years ago – they were painting the Southgate area and felt he was painting the whole town red. Reiterated this was just for the pump house retention pond – this was made for a private drive for Carson Valley Movers for access to the property. Also if we did put this expensive gate up here, some day it could be taken out – when someone actually develops this property.

Mr. Henningsen feels it's complicated because there's public road there. Trucks stop all the time along the highway and other roads while they are doing their business – he thinks the gate on Grant makes the most sense unless we put no parking. The no parking makes it usable, emergency vehicle accessible, sort of solves Mr. Jones' problem most of the time at a reasonable cost.

Ms. Higuera said plan B is out of the question, we just don't have the money. Possibly could get other bids for Plan B and maybe get the cost down. As far as placement, he agrees with the Grant Avenue placement.

Ms. Wenner likes putting the gate further back where the property line is. If there's a truck parked in the road and somebody doesn't see it and rear ends it, the town would be liable. She likes the idea of painting it red, no parking, and maybe private drive.

Ms. Slater said we do have a consensus of putting it to the property line. So then we come back to the gate. The board cannot afford the bid for \$22k. Would you like to see if you can get a bid that comes down substantially and bring it back to the board next month?

Mr. Jones said he took this one opportunity to get some bids - he hoped somebody from the town could get some estimates because he knew they would get better estimates that he could. He would petition for the Town of Gardnerville to help out with these estimates.

Mr. Lacost said originally after February, Tom Dallaire reached out to Mr. Jones and gave him 3 options of different styles of fences. Mr. Jones came back with a price of the fence he wanted, that was the \$22k. With that estimate and the

budget, Mr. Lacost needed more direction from the board of which way to go and the placement to come to a common ground. He could get additional bids but they may not be Mr. Jones' vision. So if the board wants him to get additional bids for an automatic gate, or a pipe rail gate, and the placement, he'll get them.

Mr. Jones just asked for a few bids.

Ms. Slater asked Mr. Jones to share pictures with Mr. Lacost. If Mr. Jones is set on the electric gate, if town came up with \$3k that we can support it with and left the balance to him, he would get the gate he wanted. But the town clearly cannot come up with sufficient funds that you're asking for. Mr. Lacost can get some more bids.

Mr. Jones said that the original agreement last meeting was it would be 50/50.

Ms. Slater said we can't support even splitting the \$22k. \$6k is the budget Mr. Jones/Town.

Mr. Jones can't commit to that right now.

Mr. Miller told Mr. Lacost that he thought Mr. Lacost was going to bring bids to look at the entire fencing project at tonight's meeting.

Mr. Lacost said has the plan set done and the RFP put together. This decision tonight impacted if this gate was a part of that project or if this gate is a separate project done after the fact.

Ms. Wenner thinks the fence should be separate from gate.

Mr. Higuera agreed because the gate is a 50/50 split and the fence isn't.

Ms. Slater said that would be the board's direction to Mr. Lacost – look at it as 2 separate projects.

Ms. Slater asked Mr. Jones if he'd be comfortable if Mr. Lacost got bids on the pictures shown at the meeting, or does he want him to go with just the electric gate.

Mr. Jones wants time to review with Carla (his wife) and his counsel. He needs something up there. We could start with the red and the no parking, maybe that will fix the issue. As far as the gates go, he doesn't know if that looks like the best of Gardnerville. He asked the board if they want him to continue to look like the forest out there on that corner or do you want him to be more upscale like we're trying to achieve to fit in with our look.

Mr. Miller said the town's maintenance yard has that type of gate.

Ms. Slater said the gate will go using Option A, it will be placed on the property line.

Ms. Yturbide asked if there has been a motion or is this being tabled for consideration in the future.

Ms. Slater said we are going to table it.

Mr. Jones said so Option B of putting it on Grant and private drive is out.

Ms. Slater said yes. And we are putting up signs - private drive, no parking, to make sure that it's fully signed.

Mr. Jones said we have the red now. What are we going to do on the other side where the people that use it as a walking path as it is.

Ms. Slater said that would be a whole different issue at another time.

Ms. Yturbide said that's beyond the scope of where we are for the agenda item.

Ms. Slater said at this time there will be no action.

Motion by Henningsen/Miller for action item 8 that we tabled the item until a clear understanding is determined and brought back to the board. Options were presented, but a clear understanding of what we had presented to

you be brought back to the board – Mr. Jones will work with Mr. Lacost, clarify what you can both agree on and come back to the board. will have to agree.

Mr. Lacost said he would call Mr. Jones within a week and schedule a time.

Ms. Yturbide said Mr. Jones presented copies of gates that we'll have with packet materials for today's agenda.

Public comment – Ms. Debbi Lehr said that Grant is a double lane and you have a median, it's illegal for a truck to stop in a road with a median. It's not like the turn lane out here.

Public comment – Mr. Glenn Linderman said if people are parking 3 deep is the problem it's the sheriff's problem. Signage and red paint on the curbs would probably handle it. Do we need a gate? They don't have a gate now, if there wasn't a parking problem would they need a gate? All you'd need to do is solve the parking problem. If red curbs and signs don't solve the parking problem, other actions could be taken. You could put up fencing that gives people a clue that parking is not good here. Fence off the sidewalk and then they have to park and then walk back down the street and come in from the other side. There seem to be other options besides just a gate, and a gate would actually impede access to the businesses to some extent if there isn't any other reason for a gate.

Mr. Higuera said red paint and signs may be a way to start.

Mr. Henningsen said if we had an electric gate with old people and dogs on leashes or kids, it would be a liability for the town to have a large rolling gate. Someone hits the button and no one is watching the gate close.

Mr. Jones wanted a solid fence so people can't go straight there and park. But he wanted to accommodate the handicapped and elderly that need a little help there. If we put a fence there and the red and the no parking he thinks it would eliminate 99% of it without any gate. They would go to the other side to come in. He can pay for his own gate on his own property. The plans are to fence it.

Ms. Slater said that might be an option. Next week Mr. Jones get together with Mr. Lacost and put something on the next month's agenda.

Mr. Jones said that would be satisfactory. He also said, it's a retention pond. Please don't address it as a dog park.

Ms. Slater said it is going to be a dog park.

Mr. Jones said he believes that in legal action he believes the Jones' have to approve it also.

Public comment – Mrs. Carla Jones said that if it gets designated as a park, for future development on that land it can limit what can be developed there because of the proximity of the park. Which would be detrimental to the value of their property.

No further public comment

Upon call for a vote, motion carried unanimously

- 9. For Possible Action: Discussion on the Fiscal Year 2019-2024 Final Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), with public comment prior to Board action.**

Public comment – Ms. Louthan I said there were no changes except putting the whole grant into the NV Energy line item.

Public comment closed

Motion Higuera/Miller

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

- 10. For Possible Action: Discussion to adopt the Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2019-2020, with public comment prior to Board action.**

Public comment – Ms. Louthan said we forgot to pay the board in the budget, so that is the change, she explained what accounts the board compensation came out of.

No public comment

Motion Higuera/Miller

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

11. For Possible Action: Discussion to extend the interim town manager to June 30, 2019 or as needed; with public comment prior to Board action.

Public Comment – Ms. Slater asked Ms. Louthan to put this on the agenda. The new Town Manager is coming on 5/18 – she thinks there will be a learning process for Mr. Nilssen and he needs Ms. Louthan to continue to do this. Mr. Nilssen can determine when he's well enough versed.

Mr. Higuera and Ms. Wenner agreed that Ms. Louthan will be an asset to show Mr. Nilssen the ropes.

No public comment

Motion by Henningsen/Wenner to approve the existing Ms. Louthan as interim town manager to June 30, 2019. This would be at the discretion of the new town manager regarding the date, giving flexibility to Mr. Nilssen, the new town manager, and Ms. Louthan to work out a suitable transition that won't extend past June 30, 2019.

Upon call for the vote, motion carried unanimously

12. Not for Possible Action: Discussion on the Town Attorney's Monthly Report of activities for April 2019.

Ms. Yturbide described her report which is in the board packet.

No action taken

13. Not For Possible Action: Discussion on the Town Manager's Monthly Report of activities for April 2019.

Mr. Lacost went over the material in the board packet.

Ms. Louthan said we haven't heard anything back on item B – 395 crosswalks. Mr. Lacost said they met with NDOT and they said they were interested in hooking up to the storm drainage over there but they have not provided us any documentation confirming that they will. We filed an extension through 9/30 on the \$200k grant from CDBG. Ms. Louthan got the email today stating they would extend it. We are moving forward with the plans and permitting process until we hear otherwise that we were denied.

Ms. Wenner said property managers for Chichester HOA has changed.

New message board will help publicize road closures.

Mr Lacost said we are in the process of hiring more staff – only have 8 of 12 positions staffed now

Mr. Lacost said crosswalks are out to Lumos, they are working on LPA process who will work with NDOT and grant process. Looking at doing it next summer while school is out.

Ms. Slater said the NDOT is meeting with Douglas County about Hwy 395 – we need to have someone from our leadership attend so our needs are explained. NDOT is not considering the widening that was considered. BOCC asked about S curve –Tom Dallaire talked with Lee Bonner/NDOT liason who said S curve not a priority. \$20k would fix the problem that we've had for a lot of years, since we're already replacing sidewalk and curb.

No action taken

14. Not For Possible Action: Discussion on the Board members activities and liaison committee reports including but not limited to; Carson Valley Arts Council, Nevada League of Cities, and Main Street Gardnerville.

CVAC- Ms. Wenner reported that Brian was getting ready for May the 4th Be With You. Nancy Regan recognized for 67 years of rotating her displays in the Art Council, she wants a mural put up in the community. Dana Childs is the next artist coming in to the Art Council. They're trying to figure out who the next person will be that will be in charge of doing all that.

NLC – Ms. Slater reported updates on the bills are in your booklet. Wes Henderson, Executive Director will give a presentation at our June meeting. We will hear more from Wes after the legislative session is over.

MSG – Mr. Higuera missed meeting Easter week, but he was told that they stressed that Main Street is hosting the Chamber Coffee June 12 at Gardnerville Station, 7am-8:30am, and they encourage all of us to attend. Tara Addeo is leaving the MSG board of directors, maybe Kurt, Record Courier would be interested in being on the board? Debbi Lehr talked about the old gym - she entered a contest for free money but didn't make the quarter finals. We'll try again next year and do more of a marketing campaign – people can vote 20x per day per device. First Wine Walk next week Main Street had over 60 people at Sweep the Town.

2nd PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS period (No action will be taken)

Public comment – Mr. Kurt Hildebrand said no to serving on MSG board, he will do what he can to get someone from Record Courier on the board. What did we approve for Mr. Nilssen's annual salary?

Ms. Yturbide will contact him with Mr. Nilssen's salary.

No further public comment.

Meeting adjourned at 5:50pm by Chairwoman Slater

Respectfully Submitted,

Linda Slater, Chairman

Carol Louthan, Interim Town Manager